



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/895,272	395,272 06/29/2001		Frans W. Sijstermans	22300-05725	7190
758	7590	05/25/2004	EXAMINER		INER
FENWICK			MALZAHN, DAVID H		
SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA STREET MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2124	7
				DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/895,272	SIJSTERMANS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David H. Malzahn	2124
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213,
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 June 2001 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	D⊠ accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/895,272

Art Unit: 2124

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1, 5, 12, 16, 23, 27, 34, 38, 39, 46 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by both Sharangpani and Smith.

Both Sharangpani and Smith show both a method and an apparatus for executing a first instruction to set a rounding mode and then executing a second instruction to generate an integer result rounded according to the rounding mode, note the respective abstracts and figures.

3. Claims 1, 4-8, 11, 12, 15-19, 22, 23, 26-30, 33, 34, 37-42, 45, 46, 49-53 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Farooqui et al (Farooqui).

Application/Control Number: 09/895,272

Art Unit: 2124

Farooqui shows both a method and an apparatus for executing an instruction to set a rounding mode followed but executing a right-shift with rounding operation instruction which involves adding a rounding term as a function of the rounding mode, the shift amount and the sign of the result to obtain an intermediate result and right-shifting the intermediate result by the shift amount, note the abstract.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 9, 13, 20, 24, 31, 35, 43, 47 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farooqui and Wong (5,917,739).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the rounding technique as taught by Farooqui to the rounding averaging operation of Wong because Wong teaches the need to perform a rounding relative to an averaging operation.

6. Claims 3, 10, 14, 21, 25, 32, 36, 44, 48 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farooqui and Wong (4,953,119).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the rounding technique as taught by Farooqui to the rounding fixed-

Application/Control Number: 09/895,272 Page 4

Art Unit: 2124

point fractional multiplication operation of Wong because Wong teaches the need to perform a rounding relative to fixed-point fractional multiplication operation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. Claims 6-22, 28-33, 38, 40-45 and 51-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 6, 17, 28, 40 and 51 are mis-descriptive because the rounding term is not a function of the sign when the operands are unsigned, e.g. note claim 9. The step of claim 7 fails to be clearly related to the sequence of steps of claim 6. Claim 11 is inconsistent with claim 6 because when the operation right-shift then the first step of claim 6 is not performed, note Fig. 13. Similarly note claims 22, 33, 45 and 56. Claims 12 and 17 are mis-descriptive "parsing the software program" doesn't occur. In claim 38 the phrase "the integer result" lacks clear antecedent basis.

Specification

8. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,272

Art Unit: 2124

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David H. Malzahn whose telephone number is (703) 305-9762. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on 703-305-9662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David H. Malzahn Primary Exammer Art Unit 2124 Page 5